

Department of Local Government, Industry Regulation and Safety



# SELF-ASSESSMENT Principal mining hazard management plans

#### Disclaimer

The information contained in this publication is guidance material only. It is provided in good faith and believed to be reliable and accurate at the time of publication.

To ensure you understand and comply with your legal obligations, this information must be read in conjunction with the appropriate Acts and regulations which are available from the Parliamentary Counsel's Office www.legislation.wa.gov.au.

The State disclaims all responsibility and all liability (including without limitation liability in negligence) for all expenses, losses, damages and costs you might incur as a result of the information being inaccurate or incomplete in any way, and for any reason.

In this disclaimer:

**State** means the State of Western Australia and includes every Minister, agent, agency, department, statutory body corporate and instrumentality thereof and each employee or agent of any of them.

**Information** includes information, data, representations, advice, statements and opinions, expressly or implied set out in this publication.

Loss includes loss, damage, liability, cost, expense, illness and injury (including death).

#### Reference

WorkSafe Western Australia, 2025, Principal mining hazard management plans: Selfassessment. Department of Local Government, Industry Regulation and Safety, Western Australia, 23 pp.

© Government of Western Australia (Department of Local Government, Industry Regulation and Safety) 2025.

This publication can be available on request in other formats for people with special needs.

Further details of safety publications can be obtained by contacting:

#### Department of Local Government, Industry Regulation and Safety

WorkSafe Western Australia 303 Sevenoaks Street Whadjuk Noongar Country CANNINGTON WA 6107

Telephone: 1300 307 877NRS:13 36 77Email:wspublications@lgirs.wa.gov.au

### Contents

Introduction	2
Purpose of this self-assessment	2
Legal duties	2
Using the self-assessment	2
Who should complete the self-assessment	3
The self-assessment process	3
Collecting evidence of compliance	3
Rating the level of compliance	4
Formulating a corrective action plan	4
PMHMP self-assessment	5
Appendix 1 Corrective action plan	10

### Introduction

#### Purpose of this self-assessment

This self-assessment has been developed to assist mine operators to determine whether their principal mining hazard management plans (PMHMPs) are compliant with the *Work Health and Safety Act 2020* (WHS Act) and Work Health and Safety (Mines) Regulations 2022 (WHS Mines Regulations). For further information, see the *Principal mining hazard management plans: Guide* and the *Mine safety management system: Code of practice.* 

#### Legal duties

The WHS Act requires a person conducting a business or undertaking (PCBU), including the mine operator, to ensure, so far as is reasonably practicable, that the health and safety of workers and other persons is not put at risk from any work carried out as part of the business or undertaking. This means eliminating or minimising risks to health and safety, so far as is reasonably practicable. This includes:

- provision and maintenance of healthy and safe work environments
- provision and maintenance of safe plant and structures
- provision and maintenance of safe systems of work
- safe use, handling and storage of plant, structures, and substances
- methods for the identification of, and managing the impact from, psychosocial hazards
- provision of adequate facilities for the welfare of workers at work
- provision of information, instruction, training, and supervision necessary to protect all workers from risks to their health and safety
- monitoring, including proactive control, of workplace conditions and the effects on workers' health.

A mine operator also has duties under the WHS Mines Regulations that include establishing and implementing a PMHMP for each principal mining hazard (PMH). These plans are part of the mine safety management system (MSMS), which is the mine operator's primary means of ensuring the health and safety of workers and other persons at the mine by preventing fatalities, serious injuries and debilitating industrial illnesses. A mining operation is defined by regulation 5B and includes, but is not limited to, refineries for processing of minerals and rocks, an underground or opencut mine, exploration, a quarry and rehabilitation activities at a mine site.

The mine operator is required to understand their duties under the above legislation.

#### Using the self-assessment

Mine operators are encouraged to begin their evaluation process with the MSMS selfassessment before using this self-assessment, tools for other operational risks with serious injury or harm potential, and finally, tools designed for administrative compliance such as health and safety representation.

This self-assessment is used to evaluate the adequacy of the PMH management program against minimum compliance requirements. It can be used to identify strengths and opportunities for improvement. It is not definitive, and mine operators should customise

the assessment to their workplace by including additional areas or sections as required to ensure that operational health and safety risks are addressed.

This self-assessment can be completed as a whole, or sections of the assessment may be completed as separate components. As with the management of any health and safety process, expert advice should be sought when the limits of internal expertise have been reached.

#### Who should complete the self-assessment

It is necessary that those undertaking the self-assessment have access to information about the MSMS and PMHMPs, work environment and work processes, and knowledge and understanding of the mine operations. As this self-assessment requires access to information from different business areas, it is recommended that they receive input from operational groups and subject matter experts (work health and safety advisors, health and safety representatives, workers, statutory supervisors, and senior management).

A project sponsor who has decision-making authority at senior level may be needed to ensure visible support and assistance including the necessary resources to complete the self-assessment and to address any findings.

At the end of this self-assessment, there is a corrective action plan template. The mine operation's management should ensure that deficiencies are captured, actioned, tracked to closeout, and verified to be effective in managing health and safety risks.

#### The self-assessment process

There are three steps in completing the self-assessment:

- 1. collecting evidence of compliance
- 2. rating the level of compliance
- 3. formulating a corrective action plan.

#### Collecting evidence of compliance

Collecting evidence for this self-assessment is similar to collecting evidence for other assessments that focus on process safety, and work health and safety. Different types of evidence may be required to demonstrate compliance or implementation. There are three ways to collect evidence for this self-assessment:

- **interviewing people** including managers, supervisors, workers, contractors and health and safety representatives
- reviewing documents including operating manuals, policies, plans and procedures; records (e.g. work instructions, completed forms and permits, reports, registers); and reports or other data (e.g. risk assessments, surveys and functional task analysis)
- **observing work tasks** being performed and conditions within the workplace (take photographs, where possible, to use as records).

If possible, evidence should be from all three categories to verify findings.

Collecting evidence to complete the self-assessment will generally require an examination of the following areas:

- Methods or systems of work: how work is designed and managed for known and foreseeable health and safety critical tasks and major accident events, risk assessment processes, and maintenance of the MSMS.
- Environment where the work is conducted: above ground; underground; activities that are part of the mining operation but outside main operational areas such as mobilisations to and from site, and the changing conditions of that environment such as weather events.
- Plant, equipment, tools and technologies being used by the workforce: machinery, control panels, personal protective equipment.
- Human resourcing: worker competencies, supervision, coverage, statutory positions, internal subject matter expertise.

Rating	Description
Compliant (C)	There is sufficient and appropriate evidence to demonstrate the requirement has been complied with and is within the scope of the self-assessment
Non-compliant (NC)	Clear evidence has been collected to demonstrate the compliance standard has not been complied with but is within the scope of the self- assessment
Not determined (ND)	<ul> <li>The necessary evidence has not been collected to enable an assessment of a compliance standard to be made within the scope of the self-assessment. There may be various reasons why the self-assessment team could not collect the required information, including:</li> <li>insufficient information to enable an assessment of compliance to be made</li> </ul>
	• the wording of the criteria meant that no evidence could be gathered, or it was too difficult to gather the evidence.
Not applicable (NA)	An element in the compliance standard was not assessed within the scope of the self-assessment given that it was not applicable because the criteria for a particular compliance standard is not present at the mine (e.g. requirement for an underground ventilation plan is only applicable to underground mining)

#### Rating the level of compliance

The intent of the compliance standards and greater detail for the collection of evidence is provided in each section of the self-assessment table below. This also includes the main legislative references.

#### Formulating a corrective action plan

After completing the self-assessment table, a corrective action plan should be formulated and endorsed by senior leadership. An example corrective action plan template is provided at the end of this self-assessment.

The mine operator should ensure that deficiencies are captured, actioned and tracked to closeout, and that controls are verified as effective in managing health and safety risks. Points rated 'Not determined' should also be included in the corrective action plan to ensure that all applicable parts of the assessment are completed, and all deficiencies are identified.

## PMHMP self-assessment

Compliance standard	Compliance rating	Compliance score	Evidence sighted/ comments		
1 MSMS					
1.1 The mine operator has undertaken the self-assessment for the MSMS.	Verify that the mine operator has completed the MSMS self-assessment or another self-assessment customised for this purpose.	C NC			
		ND			
	See 1. 023, 1. 024 and 1. 023.	NA			
1.2 Control measures have	Verify that the mine operator has captured any findings from the MSMS	С			
been implemented,	self-assessment and implemented,	NC			
deficiencies have	control measures where these actions	ND			
been identified by the MSMS self-	are required to meet compliance requirements.	NA			
	See r. 38, r. 618 and r. 625.				
1.3 The MSMS	Verify that the MSMS addresses:	С			
processes; critical	PMH risk identification, assessment     and control processes	NC			
control processes for all PMHs; and an audit	critical control verification	ND			
schedule.	<ul> <li>processes for all PMH</li> <li>an audit schedule to verify that the PMH critical control program and each control are effective</li> </ul>	NA			
	See r. 618, r. 619, r. 622, r. 623, r. 624, r. 625, r. 627, r. 628, r. 629 and Schedule 19.				
	<ul> <li>Good practice involves obtaining guidance from industry best practice such as the International Council on Mining and Metals (ICMM). Specific references include:</li> <li>Critical control management: Implementation guide.</li> </ul>				
	Health and safety critical control     management: Good practice guide				
	Good practice involves determining critical controls using recognised risk assessment methods such as bowtie analysis, fault tree analysis and critical control decision tree. The risk workshop for each PMH should be attended by key managers and supervisors responsible for critical control implementation and be facilitated by a person who is competent in the selected risk assessment method.				

Compliance standard	Compliance rating	Compliance score		Evidence sighted/ comments		
2 Principal Mining Hazard Identification						
2.1 The principal mining hazards have been identified.	Verify that all PMHs have been identified for the mining operation.	С				
	See r. 627 and Schedule 19.	NC ND				
		NA				
3 Principal Mining Ha	zard Risk Assessment					
3.1 The risk	Verify that each PMH has been	С				
assessment is comprehensive.	assessed through a comprehensive and systematic investigation and	NC				
	analysis of all aspects of the risks identified.	ND				
	See r. 627(2) and r. 627(3).	NA				
3.2 Other hazards	Verify that each PMH has been	С				
are considered in	cumulatively with other hazards at the mine, and that risks from a single event or a series of recuring events have also been considered.	NC				
the evaluation and analysis of the PMH.		ND				
		NA				
	See r. 612(1) and r. 627(3).					
3.3 In the risk assessment, the PMHs referred to in the regulations have been considered.	Verify that PMHs referred to in r. 612 and Schedule 19 of the regulations have been considered and addressed, where applicable.	С				
		NC				
		ND				
		NA				
3.4 Each risk	skVerify that workers involved in the PMHnt isrisk assessment process are trainedl by aand competent to conduct the typest personof risk assessments through fieldupriateverification.	С				
conducted by a		NC				
with appropriate		ND				
knowledge of the hazard.	See r. 617(2).	NA				
3.5 The PMH risk assessment identifies controls utilising the	Verify the controls documented in the	С				
	the risks to health and safety through the hierarchy of control and the residual risk is as low as reasonably practicable.	NC				
nierarchy of control.		ND				
	See r. 36 and r. 628.	NA				

Compliance standard	Compliance rating	Compliance score	Evidence sighted/ comments
3.6 The PMH risk assessment established controls are specific and measurable with established performance criteria to demonstrate controls are in place and effective.	Verify that a range of performance indicators are required for all control measures, particularly for those deemed critical. The performance indicators measure both how well the controls are performing and how effectively the management system is monitoring and maintaining them.	C NC ND NA	
4 Principal Mining Ha	zard Management Plans	<u> </u>	
4.1 For each PMH referred to in the regulations, the PMHMP addresses the requirements listed.	Verify that any PMHMP developed and implemented by the mine operator for a PMH referred to in Schedule 19 of the regulations addresses each of the requirements listed under the applicable PMH in that schedule.	C NC ND NA	
4.2 If there are other PMHs that have been identified by the mine operator, that these have been risk assessed, a PMHMP developed and implemented, and controls put in place to achieve elimination or risk reduction to as low as reasonably practicable.	In the case of any PMHMP developed for those PMHs which are not referred to in Schedule 19 of the regulations, verify that risks have been assessed, plans implemented, and controls have achieved risk elimination or reduction to as low as reasonably practicable. See r. 36, r. 612 and r. 628.	C NC ND NA	
4.3 Each PMHMP is written in a way that is readily understandable, so far as is reasonably practicable.	Verify that each PMHMP is expressed in a way that is readily understandable by persons who use it, so far as is reasonably practicable. See r. 628 (2).	C NC ND NA	

Compliance standard	Compliance rating	Compliance score		pliance Evidence sighted/ e comments	
4.4 Each PMHMP meets the	Verify that each PMHMP contains the following information:	С			
in r. 628(3) of the	<ul> <li>a description of the PMH to which the plan relates</li> </ul>	ND			
	<ul> <li>how the PMH relates to other hazards at the mine</li> </ul>	NA			
	<ul> <li>how the PMH was identified</li> </ul>				
	<ul> <li>the risk assessment conducted for the PMH</li> </ul>				
	<ul> <li>the process for determining controls to be implemented</li> </ul>				
	<ul> <li>all the controls to be implemented</li> </ul>				
	<ul> <li>provision of risk control management including control verification and maintenance activities to ensure controls remain effective</li> </ul>				
	<ul> <li>all design principles, engineering standards and technical standards relied on for control measures for the PMH</li> </ul>				
	<ul> <li>reasons for adopting or rejecting control measures considered</li> </ul>				
	<ul> <li>the information, instruction and training to be provided for the PMH</li> </ul>				
	See r. 628(3).				
4.5 Any changes	Verify that records are retained of any changes to any PMHMP, for instance, through document control.	С			
retained as records.		NC			
	See r. 619(2).	ND			
		NA			
5 PMHMP performan	ce standards and audit				
5.1 Auditing of each	Verify that, as PMHMPs are part of	С			
of the MSMS audit	standards for the effectiveness of the	NC			
program.	plans, and this is included in a system for auditing.	ND			
	See r. 622(1)(d)(i) and r. 623.	NA			
5.2 The PMHMP	Verify that a process is established to	С			
sets out guidance on the actions to be taken when a change may affect	guidancereview and or revise the PMHMP andctions tothe supporting risk assessment if awhen acontrol measure is revised followingnav affecta notifiable or reportable incident	NC			
		ND			
the effectiveness of a critical control of a PMH.	or if the control measure does not adequately control the risk it was implemented to control.	NA			
	See r. 38, r. 618, r. 623 and r. 629.				

Compliance standard	Compliance rating	Compliance score	Evidence sighted/ comments
5.3 Control effectiveness assessments and control maintenance tasks are established and completed to ensure controls remain effective.	Verify that a process is established for identifying and reporting abnormal conditions or changes that may affect critical control effectiveness. For instance, a trigger action response plan may be required. This summarises the overall monitoring arrangements and includes planned actions ready to implement when certain trigger points are detected. See r. 38 and r. 618 and MSMS Code of practice part 2.5.1.	C NC ND NA	

## Appendix 1 Corrective action plan

Timeframe	Task	Responsible person	Completion date	Review date
Immediate				
Short-term				
Medium-				
Long-term				

#### Department of Local Government, Industry Regulation and Safety

WorkSafe Western Australia 303 Sevenoaks Street Whadjuk Noongar Country CANNINGTON WA 6107

Telephone:1300 307 877NRS:13 36 77Email:wscallcentre@lgirs.wa.gov.auWebsite:www.worksafe.wa.gov.au

The State of Western Australia supports and encourages the dissemination and exchange of its information. The copyright in this publication is licensed under a Creative Commons Attribution 4.0 International (CC BY) licence.



Under this licence, with the exception of the Government of Western Australia Coat of Arms, the Department's logo, any material protected by a trade mark or licence and where otherwise noted, you are free, without having to seek our permission, to use this publication in accordance with the licence terms.

We also request that you observe and retain any copyright or related notices that may accompany this material as part of the attribution. This is also a requirement of the Creative Commons Licences.

For more information on this licence, visit creativecommons.org/licenses/ by/4.0/legalcode